Development of a Sodium Bismuthate-Coated Polyacrylonitrile Resin for the Separation of Oxidized Americium from Curium

Samantha A. Labb 65th RRMC – TrisKem Workshop| November 3, 2022



Nuclear Data Needs for Stockpile Stewardship

- NNSA 2021 Stockpile Stewardship and Management Plan
 - Ensure safety and reliability of the stockpile without underground testing
- Nuclear Data Needs
 - Accurate cross section measurements
 - Am-242*m*/Am-242*g* Isomeric Ratio



Measurement Strategy



Required: Complete separation and recovery of Am and Cm

Am/Cm SEPARATION USING 5% NaBIO₃ IN CELITE





Richards, J. M.; Sudowe, R. *Anal. Chem.* **2016**, *88*, 4605- 3 4608

NaBIO₃ OXIDIZING AGENT

Ilmenite Structure \rightarrow Ion Exchange Properties

- Octahedral bismuth centers and sodium cations
- Literature shown for alkali, alkaline earth, and U(VI)



Kumada, N., Solid State Ionics, **1999**, 122, 183-189 Einkauf, J. D., Inorg. Chem. **2018**, 57, 15341-15349 4

NaBiO₃-PAN RESIN DEVELOPED BY TrisKem

- Resin Preparation
 - NaBiO₃ not bound to resin
 - Not prepared with diluents like EXC resins



- Particle Size Analysis
 - Dried resin, broke up clumps, and separated with hand sieve
 - 125 500 micron range



Effect on [HNO₃]

- Surface of sodium bismuthate is basic
- Change in Acid Concentration
 - HNO₃ solutions titrated prior to contact with resin
 - 1.5 mL of acid added to 50 mg of resin x2
 - Post-contact titrations
- Acidification of surface necessary



Hydrolysis Study

- 1. Contacted 1.5 mL of 0.01 and 0.05 M HNO₃ with 50 mg NaBiO₃ for 1 hour
- 2. Separated by centrifugation, transfer 1.45 mL supernatant to empty microcentrifuge tube
- 3. Spike with 50 µL radionuclide stock
- 4. Shake for 1 hour
- 5. Analyze 1 mL aliquot via LSC



METHOD: BATCH CONTACT STUDIES



- I. Preconditioning
 - a) 50 mg resin
 - b) Desired acid concentration
 - c) Agitate for I hour

- **2. Spiking** a) ²⁴¹Am/²⁴⁴Cm
 - b) Agitate for I hour

- 3. Analysis
 - a) Filter sample using PTFE syringe filter
 - b) Transfer aliquot of the filtered eluent for analysis

Am/Cm on NaBiO₃ Solid (Idaho National Lab)



75 wt% NaBiO₃-PAN Concentration Dependency



75 wt% NaBiO3-PAN Precondition Time Dependency (0.01 M HNO3)





75 wt% NaBiO3-PAN Contact Time Dependency (0.01 M HNO3)



75 wt% NaBiO3-PAN Temperature Dependency (0.01 M HNO3)

Am/Cm on PAN Beads



METHOD: CHROMATOGRAPHIC SEPARATIONS



COLUMN OBSERVATIONS



Small floaters had to be decanted when prepping slurry to prevent column clogging



Resin turning black when acid introduced (Bi(V) → Bi(III)) Kozma, *et. al. Solid State Chem.* **2018**, *263*, 216-223)

Gas production restricting flow rate under gravity



Color change 1 week post column

ATTEMPT #I: NaBiO₃-PAN COLUMN



- 2% Am-241 recovery for every 10 mL of 0.01 M HNO₃ eluted
- I00% Am and Cm recovery in 0.05 M HNO₃
- Retention is TOO HIGH at 0.01 M HNO₃
- Very restricted flow under gravity, gas production

COLUMN OBSERVATIONS



Density differences and wide range of particle sizes affect flow dynamics and reproducibility



Better distribution with the 10 wt% NaBiO₃-PAN column. Involved a lot of mixing with pipette.



Dry packing shows more promising bed uniformity – tight packing released remaining solution in resin (pH~11)

ATTEMPT #2: 5% NaBiO₃-PAN IN PAN-Normal



95 wt% PAN-Normal



- Non-homogenous mixing of the two resins due to density
- Uneven bed packing

Am-241

-Cm-244

- 98% Am recovery, 100% Cm recovery
- Retention is TOO LOW at 0.01 M HNO₃

Attempt #3: 10% NaBiO₃-PAN with PAN Beads



- 90% Am and 71% Cm recovery
- Separation! ... with some Am breakthrough in the Cm fraction
- Starting to find the sweet NaBiO₃ concentration spot

0

0

0

. .

(• •



Next... Improving the resin

- At high amounts of NaBiO₃ coating, both radionuclides are strongly retained
 - Vary NaBiO₃ loading on resin
 - 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%
- Exploring PES beads as backbone
- Comparing NaBiO₃ sources & purity

Am/Cm on 75% NaBiO3-PAN (New Lot)







NaBiO₃ Source Purity - Peroxides

- NaBiO₃ Synthesis
- Peroxide contaminants \rightarrow incomplete or non-oxidation of Am
 - $\operatorname{Am}(\operatorname{III}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Am}(\operatorname{VI}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Am}(\operatorname{VI}) + \operatorname{Am}(\operatorname{III})$
 - $\operatorname{Am}(\operatorname{III}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Am}(\operatorname{V})$
- Remove through repeated washes with DI-H₂O (?)



Am/Cm on 50 wt% NaBiO3-PAN



Am/Cm on 25 wt% NaBiO3-PAN



Am/Cm on 10 wt% NaBiO3-PAN



Polyacrylonitrile Support

- No evidence of oxidation
 - Identical Am/Cm behavior \rightarrow trivalent speciation
 - Organic PAN reducing Am(V)/Am(VI)?
- No evidence of ion exchange
 - No Cm(III) adsorption \rightarrow exchange site access
 - NaBiO₃ on surface or in pores?
 - PAN incorporation into solid structure?
 - Zirconium Phosphate

Polyethersulfone (PES) Support

- 10 wt% NaBiO₃ coating
- Greater stability in acid than PAN
- Potential benefit of additional electrostatic interactions



Am/Cm on 10% NaBiO3-PES Fresh



Alternative Chromatographic Systems

- Inorganic Supports/Filter Aids
 - Silica Gel/Powder \rightarrow Mixed Bed or Coated
 - Alumina
- Pre-treatment with $NaBiO_3 \rightarrow Cation Exchange$
 - Zirconium Phosphate
 - Zirconium Oxide

NaBiO₃ Dissolution/Degradation

- What is the concentration of total Bi (Bi(V) + Bi(III)) in solution as a function of:
 - [HNO3]
 - Time
 - Radionuclide concentration, size, charge
- ICP-OES: Total [Bi]
- UV-Vis-NIR: Bi(V):Bi(III)
- Bi(III) Interference: How is Cm(III) adsorption affected?

Beyond Resin Development

- Oxidation & Speciation
 - UV-Vis analysis in the presence of NaBiO₃ to determine speciation and oxidation kinetics
 - Function of time, [NaBiO₃]
 - Compare speciation determination methods fluoride precipitation vs. UV-Vis
- Ion Exchange
 - What is the ion exchange mechanism for Am and Cm with Na⁺?
 - Potential complexation vs ion exchange??
 - EXAFS / XANES Studies

Acknowledgements

- Dr. Ralf Sudowe
- Dr. Tom Johnson
- Dr. Alex Brandl
- Dr. Chuck Henry
- Aude Bombard, TrisKem Int.
- Steffen Happel, TrisKem Int.
- Dr. Evelyn Bond
- Dr. Todd Bredeweg
- ERHS
- G. T. Seaborg Institute



A NIOSH Education and Research Center for Occupational & Environmental Health & Safety

This research was supported by the grant T42OH009229, funded by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the Department of Health and Human Services.



This research was supported under award NRC-HQ-60-17-G-0005 from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The statements, findings, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission.